Data collection: CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonus, 1989). Cell refinement: CAD-4 Software. Data reduction: SDP (B. A. Frenz & Associates Inc., 1984). Program(s) used to solve structure: MULTAN11/82 (Main et al., 1982). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976). Molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976); PLUTO (Motherwell & Clegg, 1978). Software used to prepare material for publication: PARST (Nardelli, 1983).

Financial support from CSIR (India) is gratefully acknowledged.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and H-atom coordinates have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: AB1176). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

### References

- B. A. Frenz & Associates Inc. (1984). SDP-Plus Structure Determination Package. College Station, Texas, USA.
- Battagalia, L. P., Comadi, A. B., Marcotrigiano, G. & Pellacani, G. C. (1977). Acta Cryst. B33, 3886–3888.
- Bhagwat, V. W., Manohar, H. & Poonia, N. S. (1980). Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. 16, 289–292.
- Bush, M. A. & Truter, M. R. (1971). J. Chem. Soc. A, pp. 795–797. Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Frenz, B. A. & Ibers, J. A. (1972). Inorg. Chem. 11, 1109-1116.
- Hughes, D. L. & Truter, M. R. (1972). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2214–2219.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Kanters, J. A., Dekoster, A., Schouten, K., Venkatsubramanian, K. & Poonia, N. S. (1985). Acta Cryst. C41, 1585–1588.
- Kanters, J. A., Postma, R., Duisenberg, A. J. M., Venkatsubramanian, K. & Poonia, N. S. (1983). Acta Cryst. C39, 1519–1522.
- Kanters, J. A., Stouten, P. F., Vijayvergiya, V. & Poonia, N. S. (1987). Polyhedron, 6, 1833–1837.
- Main, P., Fiske, S. J., Hull, S. E., Lessinger, L., Germain, G., Declercq, J.-P. & Woolfson, M. M. (1982). MULTAN11/82. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- Motherwell, W. D. S. & Clegg, W. (1978). PLUTO. Program for Plotting Molecular and Crystal Structures. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.
- Nishigaki, S., Yoshioka, H. & Nakatsu, K. (1978). Acta Cryst. B34, 875-878.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.
- Poonia, N. S. (1975). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 37, 1855-1858.
- Poonia, N. S., Chandra, R., Padmanabhan, V. M. & Yadav, V. S. (1990). J. Coord. Chem. 21, 167–174.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Singh, T. P., Reinhardt, R. & Poonia, N. S. (1980). Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. 16, 293–296.
- Singh, T. P., Reinhardt, R. & Poonia, N. S. (1984). Ind. J. Chem. 23A, 976–982.
- Tiwari, R. K., Joshi, V. V., Singh, T. P. & Poonia, N. S. (1982). Ind. J. Phys. 56A, 381-395.
- Vijayvergiya, V. (1984). PhD thesis, Devi Ahilya Vishwavidyalaya, Indore, India.

©1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 2238–2242

# *fac*-Tricarbonyltris(triphenyl phosphite)molybdenum(0)

Elmer C. Alyea, George Ferguson and Shu-Quan Song

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada N1G 2W1

(Received 13 January 1995; accepted 17 May 1995)

### Abstract

There are two independent molecules of the title compound,  $[Mo(CO)_3(C_{18}H_{15}O_3P)_3]$ , in the asymmetric unit; the geometry about the Mo atom is distorted octahedral, with principal mean dimensions Mo—P 2.435 (8), Mo— C 1.99 (3), P—O 1.604 (18) Å, P—Mo—P 90 (3), C— Mo—C 86 (3), *cis*-C—Mo—P 92 (5), *trans*-C—Mo—P 174 (3), C—O—P 128 (4) and Mo—C—O 174 (2)°. The short mean Mo—P bond distance reflects the strong  $\pi$ acceptor ability of P(OPh)<sub>3</sub>, as also demonstrated by the highly shielded  $\delta$ (<sup>95</sup>Mo) value (-1673 p.p.m.), whereas the various angle distortions attest to the significant but not large steric effect of the P(OPh\_3)\_3 ligand in the *fac* environment. The P-atom geometry is distorted tetrahedral, with larger mean Mo—P—O angles [118 (4)°] and smaller mean O—P—O angles [100 (3)°].

### Comment

The compound fac-Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>{P(OC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>}<sub>3</sub>, (1), was synthesized during our extensive investigation of the bonding nature of phosphorus(III) ligands using <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>95</sup>Mo NMR spectroscopy (Alyea & Song, 1992; Song, 1994). The only X-ray structure determination of a transition metal species containing the  $fac-M(CO)_3 \{P(OR)_3\}_3$  moiety (M = a)transition metal, R = any substituent) found in a search of the October 1994 release of the Cambridge Structural Database (Allen, Kennard & Taylor, 1983) was that for fac-Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>{P(OMe)<sub>2</sub>O}<sub>3</sub>SiMe (Greene, Taylor, Kee & Thornton-Pett, 1993); relevant structural determinations for Group 6 metal fac- $M(CO)_3P_3$  fragments with monodentate phosphorus ligands are also rare: Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>(PH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (Huttner & Schelle, 1969), Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (Holladay, Churchill, Wong & Atwood, 1980), Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(PPh<sub>2</sub>H)<sub>3</sub> (Willey, Butcher, Lakin & Downs, 1993) and  $Mo(CO)_3 \{P(NH'Pr)_3\}_3$ (Tarassoli, Chen, Allured, Hill, Haltiwanger, Thompson & Norman, 1986). Following our structural analysis of cis-Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>{P(OC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>}<sub>2</sub>, (2) (Alyea, Ferguson & Zwikker, 1994), which revealed only small geometric distortions due to steric effects, we decided to examine the impact on the Mo geometry of replacing a CO group by a third  $P(OC_6H_5)_3$  ligand.



There are two independent molecules, both with *fac* stereochemistry, in the asymmetric unit of (1). One of the molecules is shown in Fig. 1; the other molecule has very similar stereochemistry at the Mo atom. Both have some distortion (Table 2) from idealized octahedral geometry at the Mo atoms and quite different conformations of the P(OPh)<sub>3</sub> moieties.



Fig. 1. A view of one of the two independent molecules of (1) with the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

The mean\* Mo—P bond distance in (1) [2.435 (8) Å] is similar to those found in (2) [2.442 (1) Å] and in *fac*-Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>{P(OMe)<sub>2</sub>O}<sub>3</sub>SiMe [2.441 (6) Å]. For comparison, longer Mo—P bond lengths in Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>-(PPh<sub>2</sub>H)<sub>3</sub> [2.500 (7) Å] and in Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>{P(NH'Pr)<sub>3</sub>}<sub>3</sub> [2.545 (7) Å] suggest the presence of less  $\pi$ -bonding ability in these compounds. The relatively strong  $\pi$ - acceptor nature of P(OPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> in (1) is substantiated by the high field  $\delta$ (<sup>95</sup>Mo) value (-1673 p.p.m.), as compared with Mo chemical shifts for other *fac* species [*e.g.* Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> has  $\delta$ (<sup>95</sup>Mo) = -1265 versus -1857 p.p.m. for Mo(CO)<sub>6</sub> (Song, 1994)].

The mean Mo—C bond length in (1) [1.99(3)Å] is similar to the mean Mo—C distances in *fac*-Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>{P(OMe)<sub>2</sub>O}<sub>3</sub>SiMe [2.007(3)Å] and in (2) [*trans* to P 2.010(7)Å, *trans* to C 2.037(5)Å]. That the Mo—C bond distance reflects the amount of  $\pi$  bonding is supported by the even shorter Mo— C distances in Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(PPh<sub>2</sub>H)<sub>3</sub> [1.935(4)Å] and in Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>{P(NH'Pr)<sub>3</sub>} [1.90(2)Å], in which the phosphorus ligands are considered to be weaker  $\pi$  acceptors compared with those in (1) and (2), as substantiated by the longer Mo—P distances noted above.

The P—Mo—P angles in (1) are in the range 85.58 (11)–95.05 (11)°, reflecting the distortions due to the more congested steric environment at Mo compared with that in (2), where the P—Mo—P angle is 89.55 (5)°. In contrast to the near ideal P—M—P angle observed for Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>(PH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> [89.9 (9)°], other Group 6 *fac-M*(CO)<sub>3</sub>(PR<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> complexes also show significant deviations: 86.7 (11)° in Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(PPh<sub>2</sub>H)<sub>3</sub> and 93.9 (2)° in Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>{P(NH<sup>i</sup>Pr)<sub>3</sub>}<sub>3</sub>.

The C—Mo—C angles in (1) range from 82.4 (5) to 91.2 (4)° [mean 86 (3)°]; the corresponding values in (2) are from 87.5 (2) to 92.0 (2)° [mean 89 (2)°]. Mean C—Mo—C angles calculated for other Group 6 fac- $M(CO)_3(PR_3)_3$  species are also distorted [87 (3)° in Cr(CO)\_3(PEt\_3)\_3 (Holladay, Churchill, Wong & Atwood, 1980); 86.9 (6)° in Mo(CO)\_3(PPh\_2H)\_3 (Willey, Butcher, Lakin & Downs, 1993); 86.6 (7)° in Mo(CO)\_3{P(NH'Pr)\_3}\_3 (Tarassoli, Chen, Allured, Hill, Haltiwanger, Thompson & Norman, 1986)]. As expected, nearly idealized C—M—C angles are observed when the phosphorus ligand is less bulky: 89.6 (2)° in Cr(CO)\_3(PH\_3)\_3 (Huttner & Schelle, 1969) and 90.7 (11)° in fac-Mo(CO)\_3{P(OMe)\_2O}\_3SiMe (Greene, Taylor, Kee & Thornton-Pett, 1993).

The remaining angles around the Mo atoms in (1) also show greater departures from the idealized pseudooctahedral geometry than for (2). The *cis*-P—Mo—C angles [84.72 (11)–98.36 (11)°; *cf*. 88.2 (2)–95.7 (2)° for (2)] and the *trans*-P—Mo—C angles [range 169.2 (3)– 179.3 (1)°, mean 174 (3)°; *cf*. 173.9 (2) and 178.0 (2)° for (2)] are also more distorted than the analogous angles in (2). Intramolecular repulsions in (1) also lead to Mo—C—O angles [range 169.7 (11)–177.7 (12)°, mean 174 (2)°] that are more distorted from linearity than in (2) [175.1 (5)–178.2 (5)°, mean 176.7 (15)°].

The geometry about the P atom is distorted tetrahedral, with larger Mo—P—O angles [range 110.2 (3)– 124.9 (4)°, mean 118 (4)°] and smaller O—P—O angles [range 96.1 (4)–104.9 (5)°, mean 100 (3)°]. Other bond distances and angles in (1) are normal and similar to those in (2) [*e.g.* P—O in the range 1.568 (8)–1.639 (8) Å,

<sup>\*</sup> Throughout this paper the e.s.d.'s of the mean of the *n* values were calculated from the expression: e.s.d. =  $\{\sum [d-d(mean)]^2/n\}^{0.5}$ .

Mol

P11

P12

P13

011

012

013

0111

0112

0113

0121

0122

0123

0131

0132

O133

mean 1.60 (2) Å; P-O-C 121.1 (5)-132.3 (5)°, mean Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent 128 (4)°]; a full list is available as supplementary material. Intermolecular contacts are of the van der Waals type.

isotropic displacement parameters ( $Å^2$ )

7

0.26578 (5)

0.21837 (14)

0.34028 (14)

0.19382 (14)

0.3189 (4)

0.1967 (4)

0.3581 (4)

0.1490 (3)

0.2570(3)

0.2143 (3)

0.3547 (3)

0.3216 (3)

0.4112 (3)

0.2123 (3)

0.1364 (3)

0.1614 (3)

U<sub>eq</sub> 0.0400 (3)

0.0452 (8)

0.0445 (9)

0.0415 (8)

0.080(3)

0.080(3)

0.085 (3)

0.052 (2)

0.057 (2)

0.048 (2)

0.056(2)

0.059(2)

0.067 (3)

0.049(2)

0.044 (2)

0.051 (2)

0.054(3)

0.058 (4)

0.055 (4)

0.054 (3)

0.065 (4)

0.079 (4)

0.079 (5)

0.099 (5)

0.066 (4)

0.059 (4)

0.079 (4)

0.112 (6)

0.094 (5)

0.088 (5)

0.071 (4)

0.051 (3)

0.082(5)

0.120(6)

0.121 (7)

0.112 (6)

0.067 (4)

0.062(4)0.080 (5)

0.093 (5)

0.108 (6)

0.128(7)

0.105 (5)

0.056 (4)

0.086 (5)

0.112 (6)

0.103 (6)

0.085 (5)

0.070 (4)

0.070 (4)

0.091 (5)

0.142 (9)

0.143 (9)

0.138 (8)

0.083 (5)

0.050 (3)

0.082 (5)

0.108 (6)

0.132 (7)

0.161 (8)

0.103 (6)

0.052(3)

0.073 (4)

0.088 (5)

0.094 (5)

0.084 (5) 0.074(4)

0.046 (3)

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j$$

y 0.49151 (5)

0.6163 (2)

0.5216(2)

0.5092 (2)

0.3301 (5)

0.4374 (5)

0.4619 (5)

0.6549 (4)

0.6455 (4)

0.6666 (4)

0.5858 (4)

0.5452 (4)

0.4677 (4)

0.5130(4)

0.5837 (4)

0.4534 (4)

x 0.39327 (9)

0.4471 (3)

0.2524 (3)

0.2683 (3)

0.3264 (8)

0.6060 (8)

0.5535 (8)

0.5190 (6)

0.5149 (7)

0.3408 (6)

0.2877 (7)

0.1315(7)

0.2161 (8)

0.1326 (6)

0.2888 (6)

0.2671 (6)

### **Experimental**

Compound (1) was synthesized by adding a twofold excess of P(OPh)<sub>3</sub> to a dichloromethane solution of Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(cycloheptatriene) with stirring at room temperature for 2 h under a dry nitrogen atmosphere. Removal of some of the solvent under a nitrogen stream and cooling at ca 273 K for 1 h gave a colourless precipitate, which was collected, washed with nhexane and dried in vacuo. The infrared spectrum in dichloromethane showed  $\nu(CO)$  bands at 1989 and 1911 cm<sup>-1</sup>, in good agreement with literature values and with  $C_{3\nu}$  symmetry (Bond, Carr & Colton, 1984). A single crystal for X-ray analysis was obtained by slowly evaporating solvent from a dichloromethane solution of the complex.

Crystal data		C11	0.3483 (11)	0.3887 (7)	0.3003 (5)
	Ma Ka madiatian	C12	0.5277 (11)	0.4610 (7)	0.2162 (6)
$[MO(CO)_3(C_{18}H_{15}O_3P)_3]$	No $\Lambda \alpha$ radiation	C13	0.4915 (2)	0.47634 (11)	0.32373 (9)
$M_r = 1110.78$	$\lambda = 0.7107 \text{ A}$	CIII	0.6005 (2)	0.63118 (11)	0.112/5 (9)
Triclinic	Cell parameters from 25	C112	0.0982 (2)	0.6014/(11)	0.1324/(9)
PĪ	reflections	CIIA	0.7827(2)	0.58104 (11)	0.093/3(9)
a = 11.001(5) Å	$A = 6.00 - 12.00^{\circ}$	C114 C115	0.7093 (2)	0.59032(11) 0.62002(11)	0.03520 (9)
u = 11.991(3) R	v = 0.00 - 12.00	C116	0.0718(2) 0.5873(2)	0.02002(11)	0.01333 (9)
D = 20.000 (2)  A	$\mu = 0.394 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	C121	0.5873(2) 0.6249(2)	0.04045(11) 0.67026(11)	0.03428 (9)
c = 24.082 (4)  A	T = 294 (1)  K	C127	0.6639(2)	0.07020(11) 0.73311(11)	0.23934 (9)
$\alpha = 67.616 (10)^{\circ}$	Plate	C123	0.0037(2) 0.7777(2)	0.75572 (11)	0.17070(9)
$\beta = 77.54(2)^{\circ}$	$0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$	C124	0.8525(2)	0.71548(11)	0.21223 (9)
p = 17.51(2) $q = 87.58(2)^{\circ}$		C125	0.8135(2)	0.65263 (11)	0.26080 (9)
y = 07.38(2)	Colouriess	C126	0.6997(2)	0.63002(11)	0 27445 (9)
$V = 5383(3) \text{ A}^3$		C131	0.3570(2)	0.73807(11)	0.19661 (9)
Z = 4		C132	0.3479 (2)	0.76497 (11)	0.24217 (9)
$D_{\rm r} = 1.371 {\rm Mg m^{-3}}$		C133	0.3623 (2)	0.83668 (11)	0.22613 (9)
- 8		C134	0.3859 (2)	0.88148 (11)	0.16455 (9)
Data collection		C135	0.3949 (2)	0.85458 (11)	0.11900 (9)
Data collection		C136	0.3805 (2)	0.78288 (11)	0.13503 (9)
Enraf–Nonius CAD-4	5447 observed reflections	C141	0.2996 (2)	0.59025 (11)	0.40914 (9)
diffractometer	$[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	C142	0.2100 (2)	0.61403 (11)	0.44270 (9)
A/2A scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 23.92^{\circ}$	C143	0.2228 (2)	0.62211 (11)	0.49573 (9)
Absorption correction:	h = 12 + 12	C144	0.3252 (2)	0.60642 (11)	0.51521 (9)
Absolption confection.	$n = -13 \rightarrow 13$	C145	0.4148 (2)	0.58264 (11)	0.48165 (9)
$\psi$ -scan data (North,	$k = 0 \rightarrow 23$	C146	0.4020 (2)	0.57456 (11)	0.42862 (9)
Phillips & Mathews, 1968)	$l = -24 \rightarrow 27$	C151	0.0543 (2)	0.58072 (11)	0.34741 (9)
$T_{\min} = 0.882, T_{\max} =$	3 standard reflections	C152	-0.0293 (2)	0.54663 (11)	0.39985 (9)
0.918	frequency: 60 min	C153	-0.1083 (2)	0.58519(11)	0.42426 (9)
16846 measured reflections	intensity decay: 5.0%	C154	-0.1038(2)	0.65/84 (11)	0.39623 (9)
10040 measured reflections	intensity decay. 5.0%	C155	-0.0202(2)	0.09193(11) 0.65227(11)	0.34380 (9)
16846 independent		C161	0.0369(2) 0.2136(2)	0.03337 (11)	0.31939 (9)
reflections		C162	0.2130(2) 0.2981(2)	0.39092 (11)	0.42999 (9)
		C163	0.2942(2)	0.33930(11) 0.28684(11)	0.45075 (9)
Refinement		C164	0.2942(2) 0.2058(2)	0.25000 + (11) 0.25146 (11)	0.40024(9) 0.47301(9)
$\mathbf{P}$ ( $\mathbf{r}^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(E^2) + (0.0772P)^2]$	C165	0.1212(2)	0.28881(11)	0.44426 (9)
Remement on F	$w = 1/[0 (1_0) + (0.07/21)]$	C166	0.1251 (2)	0.36154 (11)	0.42275 (9)
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.0908$	where $P = [\max(F_o, 0)]$	C171	0.0479 (2)	0.46297 (11)	0.24738 (9)
$wR(F^2) = 0.2200$	$+ 2F_c^2$ ]/3	C172	0.0611 (2)	0.39123 (11)	0.27354 (9)
S = 0.808	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.222$	C173	-0.0328 (2)	0.34663 (11)	0.30997 (9)
16846 reflections	$\Delta q_{max} = 0.443 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$	C174	-0.1398 (2)	0.37377 (11)	0.32023 (9)
	$\Delta \alpha = 0.000 \text{ s}^{3}$	C175	-0.1531 (2)	0.44551 (11)	0.29407 (9)
991 parameters	$\Delta p_{\rm min} = -0.900 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm A}$	C176	-0.0592 (2)	0.49012 (11)	0.25764 (9)
H atoms refined using	Extinction correction: none	C181	0.2116 (2)	0.60920 (11)	0.09824 (9)
a riding model [C—	Atomic scattering factors	C182	0.2106 (2)	0.58758 (11)	0.05030 (9)
H 0.93 Å (SHELXL93:	from International Tables	C183	0.1338 (2)	0.61523 (11)	0.01231 (9)
Sheldrick 1993)]	for Crystallography (1992.	C184	0.0581 (2)	0.66449 (11)	0.02224 (9)
Sheldrick, 1995)]	Vol C Tables 4268 and	C185	0.0591 (2)	0.68612 (11)	0.07018 (9)
	6114	C180	0.1359 (2)	0.6584/(11)	0.10818 (9)
	0.1.1.4)	C191	0.3031 (2)	0.42556 (11)	0.13/94 (9)

C 192	0.4534 (2)	0.46846 (11)	0.09485 (9)	0.058 (4)
C 193	0.5480 (2)	0.43903 (11)	0.07026 (9)	0.065 (4)
C 194	0.5522 (2)	0.36669 (11)	0.08877 (9)	0.074 (4)
C195	0.4618 (2)	0.32378 (11)	0.13187 (9)	0.087 (5)
C196	0.3673 (2)	0.35322 (11)	0.15645 (9)	0.058 (4)
Mo2	0.73624 (10)	0.06117(6)	0.25169 (5)	0.0508 (4)
P21 P22	0.8203(3)	-0.0234(2)	0.3272(2) 0.2435(2)	0.0584 (10)
P22 P23	0.8737(3)	0.1490(2)	0.2433(2) 0.16685(15)	0.0391 (10)
021	0.8040 (3)	0.0405(2)	0.10005(15)	0.0407(9)
022	0.5511 (9)	-0.0384(6)	0.2455 (5)	0.106 (4)
023	0.5455 (9)	0.0666 (6)	0.3607 (5)	0.121 (4)
O211	0.9449 (7)	-0.0524 (4)	0.3016 (3)	0.059 (2)
O212	0.7596 (9)	-0.0928 (5)	0.3792 (4)	0.091 (3)
O213	0.8642 (8)	0.0037 (5)	0.3747 (4)	0.088 (3)
O221	0.8553 (8)	0.1957 (5)	0.2828 (4)	0.078 (3)
0222	0.9945 (8)	0.1171 (5)	0.2564 (5)	0.097 (3)
0223	0.9065 (9)	0.20/3(5)	0.1768 (4)	0.090(3)
0231	0.8300(7)	0.0800 (4)	0.0907(3) 0.1547(3)	0.055(2)
0232	0.9998 (0)	-0.0398(4)	0.1347(3) 0.1761(3)	0.050(2)
C21	0.0557(1)	0.1401(8)	0.1987(7)	0.030(2)
C22	0.6231 (12)	- 0.0047 (7)	0.2488 (6)	0.067 (4)
C23	0.6216 (2)	0.06700 (11)	0.32042 (9)	0.068 (4)
C211	1.0249 (2)	-0.08739 (11)	0.33484 (9)	0.074 (4)
C212	0.9970 (2)	0.15504 (11)	0.37810 (9)	0.098 (5)
C213	1.0767 (2)	-0.19228 (11)	0.41098 (9)	0.137 (7)
C214	1.1843 (2)	-0.16186 (11)	0.40058 (9)	0.128 (7)
C215	1.2122 (2)	-0.09421(11)	0.33732(9) 0.33445(9)	0.170(9)
C210	1.1323 (2)	-0.03097(11) -0.13339(11)	0.32443(9) 0.36944(9)	0.140(8) 0.073(4)
C221	0.0300(2) 0.5713(2)	-0.13339(11) -0.14719(11)	0.50944(9)	0.102(6)
C223	0.4972 (2)	-0.19508(11)	0.40359 (9)	0.139 (8)
C224	0.5324 (2)	-0.22917 (11)	0.36335 (9)	0.144 (9)
C225	0.6417 (2)	-0.21537 (11)	0.32615 (9)	0.116 (6)
C226	0.7158 (2)	-0.16748 (11)	0.32920 (9)	0.096 (5)
C231	0.8300 (2)	-0.01912 (11)	0.43598 (9)	0.088 (5)
C232	0.7185 (2)	-0.01081 (11)	0.46311 (9)	0.156 (8)
C233	0.6859 (2)	-0.03165(11)	0.52662 (9)	0.221(11) 0.224(12)
C234	0.7648 (2)	-0.06080(11) -0.06911(11)	0.50500 (9)	0.224(12) 0.225(11)
C235	0.8703(2) 0.9089(2)	-0.04827(11)	0.33380(9) 0.47235(9)	0.165 (8)
C241	0.7632 (2)	0.19939 (11)	0.32511 (9)	0.086 (5)
C242	0.6687 (2)	0.23547 (11)	0.30671 (9)	0.129 (7)
C243	0.5766 (2)	0.24173 (11)	0.35039 (9)	0.174 (10)
C244	0.5792 (2)	0.21192 (11)	0.41247 (9)	0.188 (11)
C245	0.6738 (2)	0.17585 (11)	0.43087 (9)	0.191 (11)
C246	0.7658 (2)	0.16958 (11)	0.38719 (9)	0.125(7)
C251	1.0945 (2)	0.14209(11)	0.23398 (9)	0.078(4)
C252	1 2993 (2)	0.12870(11)	0.21911(9) 0.22220(9)	0.104(0) 0.119(7)
C254	1.3099 (2)	0.17979 (11)	0.26214 (9)	0.121 (7)
C255	1.2129 (2)	0.19313 (11)	0.29901 (9)	0.108 (6)
C256	1.1051 (2)	0.17428 (11)	0.29593 (9)	0.093 (5)
C261	0.9318 (2)	0.27705 (11)	0.15967 (9)	0.067 (4)
C 262	1.0453 (2)	0.30085 (11)	0.14409 (9)	0.066 (4)
C263	1.0721 (2)	0.37189 (11)	0.12498 (9)	0.069 (4)
C 264	0.9853(2)	0.41914(11) 0.30534(11)	0.12144(9) 0.13702(9)	0.000 (4)
C 265	0.8450 (2)	0.37429(11)	0.15613 (9)	0.077(4)
C271	0.7496 (2)	0.10463 (11)	0.07868 (9)	0.059 (4)
C272	0.6578 (2)	0.05850 (11)	0.09159 (9)	0.089 (5)
C 273	0.5570 (2)	0.08378 (11)	0.07323 (9)	0.119 (6)
C274	0.5479 (2)	0.15519 (11)	0.04196 (9)	0.116 (7)
C 275	0.6397 (2)	0.20132 (11)	0.02905 (9)	0.104 (6)
C276	0.7406 (2)	0.17604 (11)	0.04/41 (9)	0.077(4)
C281	1.0801 (2)	0.08511(11)	0.10035 (9)	0.034(3)
C282	1.1020 (2)	0.04311(11) 0.07731(11)	0.08002(9) 0.02834(9)	0.070(4) 0.083(5)
C283	1.2525 (2)	0.14953 (11)	-0.00300 (9)	0.098 (5)
C285	1.1700 (2)	0.18953 (11)	0.01734 (9)	0.084 (5)
C286	1.0838 (2)	0.15733 (11)	0.06902 (9)	0.062 (4)
C291	0.9318 (2)	-0.07405 (11)	0.14770 (9)	0.048 (3)
C292	1.0086 (2)	-0.11567 (11)	0.18025 (9)	0.065 (4)
C293	1.0885 (2)	-0.15142 (11)	0.15302 (9)	0.078 (4)
C294	1.0917 (2)	-0.14553 (11)	0.09324 (9)	0.088 (5)
C295	1.0149 (2)	-0.10390(11)	0.00009 (9)	0.082 (5)
C290	0.9330(2)	-0.00010(11)	0.00/92 (9)	0.071(4)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)								
2.441 (3)	Mo2—P21	2.425 (4)						
2.432 (3)	Mo2—P22	2.427 (4)						
2.444 (3)	Mo2—P23	2.442 (4)						
2.013 (13)	Mo2-C21	2.00 (2)						
2.018 (13)	Mo2-C22	1.991 (15)						
1.944 (2)	Mo2—C23	1.950 (2)						
85.58 (11)	P21-Mo2-P22	88.80 (14)						
89.35 (11)	P21—Mo2—P23	92.05 (12)						
95.05 (11)	P22—Mo2—P23	89.34 (12)						
176.9 (3)	C21-Mo2-P21	171.0 (4)						
96.1 (4)	C22—Mo2—P21	96.7 (4)						
91.32 (10)	C23—Mo2—P21	87.31 (11)						
96.4 (4)	C21—Mo2—P22	87.0 (5)						
169.2 (3)	C22—Mo2—P22	173.7 (4)						
84.72 (11)	C23—Mo2—P22	98.36 (11)						
88.1 (4)	C21—Mo2—P23	95.8 (4)						
95.6 (3)	C22—Mo2—P23	87.3 (4)						
179.27 (11)	C23-Mo2-P23	172.25 (12)						
82.4 (5)	C22Mo2C21	88.0 (6)						
91.2 (4)	C23—Mo2—C21	85.5 (4)						
84.6 (3)	C23—Mo2—C22	85.1 (4)						
	ected geome 2.441 (3) 2.432 (3) 2.444 (3) 2.013 (13) 2.018 (13) 1.944 (2) 85.58 (11) 85.55 (11) 95.05 (11) 176.9 (3) 96.1 (4) 91.32 (10) 96.4 (4) 169.2 (3) 84.72 (11) 88.1 (4) 95.6 (3) 179.27 (11) 82.4 (5) 91.2 (4) 84.6 (3)	ccted geometric parameters (Å2.441 (3)Mo2—P212.432 (3)Mo2—P222.444 (3)Mo2—P232.013 (13)Mo2—C212.018 (13)Mo2—C221.944 (2)Mo2—C2389.35 (11)P21—Mo2—P2395.05 (11)P22—Mo2—P23176.9 (3)C21—Mo2—P2196.1 (4)C22—Mo2—P2196.4 (4)C21—Mo2—P22169.2 (3)C22—Mo2—P2288.1 (4)C21—Mo2—P2288.1 (4)C21—Mo2—P23179.27 (11)C23—Mo2—P23179.27 (11)C23—Mo2—C2191.2 (4)C23—Mo2—C2191.2 (4)C23—Mo2—C2184.6 (3)C23—Mo2—C22						

The crystal diffracted very poorly [only 24% of the reflections had  $l > 3\sigma(l)$ ; because of the size of the refinement (two independent molecules) and the large number of weak reflections, the phenyl rings were constrained to be planar hexagons with C-C 1.39 Å. Examination of the structure with the SOLV option in PLATON (Spek, 1994a) showed that although there were no solvent-accessible voids in the crystal lattice, there were 13 small cavities (size 8-18 Å<sup>3</sup>, sum of cavity volume 160 Å<sup>3</sup>) in the unit cell. This undoubtedly contributed to the molecules being loosely held in the lattice and the poor diffraction of the data crystal. We attribute the high R factor to these numerous voids; many of the phenyl rings show marked anisotropy and are probably slightly disordered. This is entirely consistent with the small percentage of observed data.

Data collection: Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius 1992). Cell refinement: SET4 and CELDIM in CAD-4 Software. Data reduction: DATRD2 in NRCVAX94 (Gabe, Le Page, Charland, Lee & White, 1989). Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1985). Program(s) used to refine structure: NRCVAX94, SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: NRCVAX94, PLATON (Spek, 1994a), PLUTON (Spek 1994b), ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976). Software used to prepare material for publication: NRCVAX94, SHELXL93.

ECA and GF thank NSERC (Canada) for Grants in Aid of Research.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: CF1001). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O. & Taylor, R. (1983). Acc. Chem. Res. 16, 146-153.
- Alyea, E. C., Ferguson, G. & Zwikker, M. (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 676-678.
- Alyea, E. C. & Song, S.-Q. (1992). Inorg. Chem. 31, 4909-4910.
- Bond, A. M., Carr, S. W. & Colton, R. (1984). Organometallics, 3, 541 - 548.

- Enraf-Nonius (1992). CAD-4/PC Software. Version 1.1. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands
- Gabe, E. J., Le Page, Y., Charland, J.-P., Lee, F. L. & White, P. S. (1989). J. Appl. Cryst. 22, 384–387.
- Greene, N., Taylor, H., Kee, T. P. & Thornton-Pett, M. (1993). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 821–825.
- Holladay, A., Churchill, M. R., Wong, A. & Atwood, J. D. (1980). Inorg. Chem. 19, 2195-2198.
- Huttner, G. & Schelle, S. (1969). J. Organomet. Chem. 19, P9-P10. Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge
- National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA. North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst.
- Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Song, S.-Q. (1994). PhD thesis, Univ. of Guelph, Canada.
- Spek, A. L. (1994a). *PLATON. Molecular Geometry Program*, July 1994 version. Univ. of Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- Spek, A. L. (1994b). PLUTON. Molecular Graphics Program, July 1994 version. Univ. of Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- Tarassoli, A., Chen, H.-J., Allured, V. S., Hill, T. G., Haltiwanger, R. C., Thompson, M. L. & Norman, A. D. (1986). *Inorg. Chem.* 25, 3541.
- Willey, G. R., Butcher, M. L., Lakin, M. T. & Downs, G. W. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 1350-1352.

& Férey, 1994) and ULM-11 (Cavellec, Riou & Férey, 1995)] are published elsewhere. The phase described in this paper does not contain fluorine. It corresponds to the formula 0.5(en).[Fe(PO<sub>4</sub>)(OH)] and is isotypic with the gallophosphate synthesized in ethylene glycol by Jones, Thomas, Oisheng, Hursthouse & Chen (1991). It presents a two-dimensional structure with alternating inorganic and organic layers (Fig. 1). The inorganic sheets (Fig. 2) are built up from chains of edge-sharing FeO<sub>5</sub>(OH) octahedra bonded by PO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra. These polyhedra form a chain of a type already encountered in linarite (Bachmann & Zemann, 1961; Hawthorne, 1990, 1994). Octahedra are linked one to another via their O-atom vertices O1 and O3, the O1 atoms belonging to hydroxy groups. The O3 apices are bonded to two Fe atoms and one P atom; they ensure the connection between the chains via PO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra.

The organic layers are made up of ethylenediamine molecules. Strong hydrogen bonds via H atoms of the



Fig. 1. Projection of the structure along the a axis showing its twodimensional character.



Fig. 2. Projection of an inorganic sheet along the c axis.

## Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 2242-2244

# A Two-Dimensional Iron Phosphate Templated by Ethylenediamine

MYRIAM CAVELLEC, DIDIER RIOU AND GÉRARD FEREY

Laboratoire des Fluorures, URA 449, Faculté des Sciences, Université du Maine, 72017 Le Mans CEDEX, France

(Received 22 March 1995; accepted 19 May 1995)

# Abstract

[Fe(PO<sub>4</sub>)(OH)].0.5(ethylenediamine) was synthesized hydrothermally at 453 K. It is isotypic with the layered gallophosphate obtained in ethylene glycol by Jones, Thomas, Qisheng, Hursthouse & Chen [J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1991), pp. 1520–1522].

# Comment

We have investigated the system  $FeO(OH)-H_3(PO_4)-HF-en-H_2O$  (en = ethylenediamine) in order to synthesize oxyfluorinated iron phosphates with open frameworks. By increasing the amine ratio in the mixture, we succeeded in obtaining five different phases, the structures of two of which [ULM-10 (Cavellec, Riou